

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Adhess COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Alexandra, Vigna 22313-1450 www.uspo.gev

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 4449

09/972,892

10/10/2001

Sheng Hsin Liao

MR-2349-692

4586

7590

06/27/2003

ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043

EXAMINER

WAKS, JOSEPH

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2834

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.		Applicant(s)	
					LIAO, SHENG HSIN	
Office Action Summary		Examiner			Art Unit	
		Joseph Wa	ks		2834	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the o	cover	sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHOTHE NO. 1 Failur. Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANDING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i.136(a). In no even eply within the statute d will apply and will of te, cause the applic	t, howevery mining expire Section to	ver, may a reply be til num of thirty (30) da IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 May 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-8 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from cons	sidera	tion.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-8 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election red	quirer	nent.		
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[Γhe specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) 🗌 c	bjecte	d to by the Exa	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ ap _l	orove	d b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	reply to this Office	ce acti	on.		
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority und	er 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1	7.2(a)).		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority und	der 35	U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application	
а	☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional app	licatio	on has been re	ceived.	
ttachmen	c(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	:	5) 🔲		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patterson et al. (US 6,059,081) in view of Wang et al. (EP 0762047), Shyu (US 5,363,445), Krag-Muller (US 1,333,119), Kobayashi et al. (US 5,722,055) and Compagnuolo et al. (US 4,701,835).

Patterson et al. disclose a wire rewinding box 10 comprising a hollow casing 20 defining a receiving chamber 98, a windlass 90 received in the chamber 98, a coil spring 110 biasing the windlass with respect to the hollow casing, a communication wire 52 winding on the windlass with one end of the wire being pulled out of the casing. However, Patterson et al. do not disclose a positioning ring, a circuit board having light emitting and sound producing elements, the communication wire being in electrical communication with the circuit board, a recharge unit received in the receiving chamber and including a generator, a battery in electrical communication with the generator, a gear mechanism installed between the windlass and the generator, and a press handle with a positioning groove for receiving the ring.

Wang et al. disclose a recharge unit installed in the casing 1 and including a generator 6 and a gear mechanism 4 installed between the windlass 30 and the generator, for the purpose of generating power for personal electric apparatuses.

Art Unit: 2834

Shyu discloses an auxiliary charging device for a mobile phone including a press handle 7 installed at one side of the casing for the purpose of manually generating power for the phone when the battery feeding the phone is used up.

Krag-Muller discloses a charging device having a press handle 20 with a positioning groove 24 receiving a positioning ring 22 for the purpose of retaining the handle in a close position when the charger is not in use.

Kobayashi et al. disclose a communication device including a circuit 41-1 board having light emitting and sound producing elements 41-4 and 41-8 for the purpose of indicating the operating status of the device (i.e. power on and incoming call).

Compagnuolo et al. disclose a portable charging device including a manually operated generator 10, a rechargeable battery 82 in electrical communication with the generator for the purpose of simultaneously providing electric power to the device and recharging the battery for further use.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the rewinding box as taught by **Patterson et al.** and to provide recharge unit installed in the easing and including a generator and a gear mechanism installed between the windlass and the generator as taught by **Wang et al.** for the purpose of utilizing the energy of the pulled wire and to provide a self contained electric source for the radiotelephone it serves.

It would have been further obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined box and to provide the press handle installed at one

The use of the circuit board having light emitting and sound producing elements is well known in the communication devices like for example the **Kobayashi et al's** disclosed portable radiotelephone (Re elements 41-1, 41-4, and 41-8). Therefore, the combined system (and in particular the **Shyu** disclosed mobile phone) inherently will include the circuit board having light emitting and sound producing elements. Furthermore the feature of the communication wire being in electrical communication with the circuit board is necessary for functioning and inherent to the system.

It would have been furthermore obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined box and to provide the press handle with the positioning groove receiving the positioning ring as taught by **Krag-Muller** retaining the handle in a close position when the charger is not in use.

It would have been even more obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined box and to provide the press handle with the positioning groove receiving the positioning ring as taught by **Krag-Muller** retaining the handle in a close position when the charger is not in use.

It would have been furthermore obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined box and to provide the generator and the battery in electrical communication with the generator as taught by **Compagnuolo et al.** for the purpose of simultaneously providing electric power to the device and recharging the battery for further use.

Art Unit: 2834

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on May 13, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

4. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., visual and auditory means attached to the system for providing visual and auditory indications of power and recharging states, a means for maintaining alignment between the press handle and the gear mechanism) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Prior Art

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (703) 308-1676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2834

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

JOSEPH WAKS
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
TC-2800

JW

June 22, 2003